

Scheduling for Engineering Advising - ENGRG 1050 Matching Tool Tinghan (Joe) Ye, Caroline Juhee Ryu, Artem Ezhov, Ashley Zeng, Saniya Vaidya, Meghan Flack, Yung Hsueh Lee Advisor: Professor David Shmoys

Introduction

Background:

- ENGRG 1050: Engineering Seminar is a 1-credit class that Freshman engineering students take to learn about Cornell's engineering curriculum, explore career paths, and connect with a faculty advisor
- Scheduling students and advisors for ENGRG 1050 courses is not ideal: takes around 25 hours for the Engineering Advising office to match manually, and historically, only 80% of students are matched with their first choice advisor

Sections created by hand using a hand-drawn calendar and post-it notes



Objectives:

- Optimize the section scheduling and student-to-advisor matching process by formulating an Integer Linear Program
- Increase students' and advisors' likelihood of being matched to their preferred major sections, and generate gender- and ethnicity-balanced sections that do not isolate minority students

New Survey Design:

To minimize time spent on processing the data before inputting into our model, we created a new advisor survey that significantly reduces room for free responses and substitutes it with drop-down menus and checkboxes while constraining response data types

Advisor Department				Corne	ell University		
 AEP BME CBE CEE EAS ECE MAE MSE ORIE 				Select the Net NetID Director asd47 vf82 brk2 brk2 lp26 sda4 jtb47	tID of the advisor	r you'd like to be Faculty: <u>CLICK I</u>	paired v
Would you like to be paired with anot O Yes O No	her advisor in the same depa	rtment?		 cs385 yw839 sd386 th358 sh2365 ads10 			
	Please select all time	e slots you are a	vailable to lea	ad a section.			
		Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	
	1:30-2:20pm						

2:40-3:30pm

3:45pm-4:35pm

School of Operations Research and Information Engineering, Cornell University

Model Formulation

Objective function:

• Maximize the number of students assigned to an advisor's preferred major weighted by the number of allocated points $\text{Max } \sum_{i \in Students} \sum_{j \in Advisors} \sum_{k \in Majors} (\frac{points_{ik}}{max_points_i})^2 * P_{ijk} + (\frac{points_{ik}}{max_points_i})^2 * S_{ijk} * \frac{1}{10} - 1000x - 1000y$





Constraints:

- <u>Student Constraint</u> Each student is assigned only to one advisor with a major they allocated at least one point to during a time slot that does not conflict with a major-required classes
- Advisor Constraint Each advisor is matched to exactly one time slot they have listed as available and with a major they have listed in their preferences or with a major similar to their home department; advisors can also request to be paired with a specific advisor (i.e., assigned to the same time slot)
- <u>Diversity Constraint</u> Each section has 1 advisor and between 14 and 21 students without disadvantaging any minority or gender group (30-70% female students and no minority or Hispanic student is singled out in a section)
- Number of Majors Constraint Number of majors to be 2 or 3 if the section only has 14 students
- Room Capacity Constraint Only 3 sections can be scheduled during morning time slots and 5 sections can be scheduled in the afternoon times

Times	1050 Section	Notes	
.9:05	CEE	Error: conflicts with MATH 1910, a requirement for CEE majors	
10:10	MechE BioE ORIE Material Science	Error: Cannot have more than 3 classes in morning slots for student convenience	
11:20	BioMedE	Pending	
12:25	ChemE CS	Error: conflicts with Intro to Chemical Engineering, a class that most ChemEs will take	
1:15	EAS ECE	Pending	
2:20	Physics ISST	Pending	

An initial schedule, with errors as labeled

• Matching ~750 students to ~45 advisors based on their preferences • Different colors represent different preferred majors

Times	1050 Section	Notes
9:05	ORIE MechE	Approved
10:10	PHYS CEE	Approved
11:20	BioMedE Material Science	Approved
12:25	ECE CS	Approved
1:15	EAS ChemE	Approved
2:20	Physics ISST	Approved

A sample schedule with resolved conflicts



- schedules (see sample outputs above)
- ethnicity ratios



- Jupyter Notebook entirely
- survey

• The model provides visualizations that allow staffs from Engineering Advising to decide between different matching

• Most advisors are matched to their preferred major sections

• We ensured diversity in the sections by balancing gender and

Future Work

• Continue the transfer of the tool from Excel + AMPL to • Reconstruct the raw data input by designing new advisor

• Coordinate with Engineering Advising to refine model objective, constraints, and visualizations